An overview of the URKUND analysis

This is the **document information** box. It shows who submitted what to whom and when. It also shows any message the submitter may have sent along with the document and the quantitative significance score i.e. “how much of the document text that has been found in other texts”. Please note that this value alone is not enough to determine whether or not the document author have been plagiarising.

This is the **document side** of the analysis. It shows the text from the submitted document and indicates in the margin where there are passages that have been found elsewhere. Please note that this field only shows the text from the document. Images, tables and other media components have been removed.

This is the **functions bar**. It has several options for detailing the review process.

This is the **list of sources** found when checking the document for similarities. Primary sources have a blue rank while alternative sources for text already covered by primary sources are grey.

This is the **source side** of the analysis. Only text corresponding to text also found in the submitted document is shown. The full text from the source is usually available via a link in the list of sources above, unless a source is restricted or unavailable for other reasons.
The sources can be viewed either as a list with the most used source at the top (A), or as highlights in falling order as they appear in the document (B).

A source can be expanded (D) to show all the highlights corresponding to it (C).

The original source (E) can be opened by clicking the link (D).

“Sources not used” are those that were suggested in the initial scan but later showed not to have a qualitative score high enough to warrant a highlight. This section also holds all those sources that were found initially, but later could not be processed.

Sources, or individual highlights within sources, can be activated (✓) or deactivated (○) from both the sources and highlights view. This will recalculate the quantitative significance score.

The arrow (>) indicates which source or highlight is currently under review on the document side of the analysis.
The functions bar contains options to make the review of the analysis more detailed. The options are:

- Toggle alternative highlights in the margin
- Toggle text differences
- Toggle text within quote marks
- Toggle text within ( ) and [ ], usually annotations
- Navigate to first highlight
- Navigate to previous highlight
- Navigate to next highlight
- View warnings of possible text tampering
- Undo any changes made in the analysis
- Send analysis link as email to someone else
- Export analysis, with changes, to PDF
- View help-options
The document side shows the text from the submitted document with the first highlight of text that is similar to that of another source in view. Note that this is not necessarily at the start of the document. Any additional similarities found are marked with a coloured bar in the margin.

The percent in the corner of a highlight indicates how similar a particular text is to the text in the source.

The # number indicates which highlight it is.

If there are good reasons to why there are similarities between the submitted text and the source text, the reviewer can deactivate the highlight and move to the next.